



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,065	12/12/2003	Mark Enzmann	BELL-0338/00219 CI	1265
23377	7590 02/08/2005		EXAM	INER
	CK WASHBURN LLP	nguyen, Quynh h		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	PHIA, PA 19103	2642		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,065	ENZMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	**TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	12 December 2003					
·	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		,				
Disposition of Claims						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
_		- P P N.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	· ·	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a not or the certified copies flot f	eceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/04.	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,683,945. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application present a method of dynamically routing data between a first and second locations comprising: an attempt failure ratio is obtained for each of a plurality of data paths capable of providing a data link between the first and second locations; a revenue value; a comparative income value is then computed for each data path; routing information is then selected for routing the data between the first and second locations based on the comparative income value for each data path. Claims 1-23 of the instant application substantially correspond to claims 1-23, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,683,945.

Art Unit: 2642

The common subject matter claimed above includes: a method of dynamically routing data between a first and second locations comprising: an attempt ratio value is obtained for each of a plurality of data paths capable of providing a data link between the first and second locations; a revenue value is obtained for each data path; a comparative income value is then computed for each data path; routing information is then selected for routing the data between the first and second locations based on the comparative income value for each data path, wherein the routing information may be employed to route the data by way of at least one of the data paths.

The difference between the instant application and U.S. Patent No. 6,683,945 is in the instant application an attempt <u>failure</u> ratio is obtained for each of a plurality of data paths capable of providing a data link between the first and second locations, the attempt failure ratio for each data path being representative of a proportion of <u>failed</u> linkages by the data path to all attempted linkages by the data path between the first and second locations; while in U.S. Patent No. 6,683,945 an attempt <u>success</u> ratio is obtained for each of a plurality of data paths capable of providing a data link between the first and second locations, the attempt failure ratio for each data path being representative of a proportion of <u>successful</u> linkages by the data path to all attempted linkages by the data path between the first and second locations.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain an attempt success ratio for computing a comparative income value and routing the data between the first and second locations instead of obtaining an attempt failure ratio in order to route the data based on which routing will generate the most income to the routing will

Application/Control Number: 10/735,065

Art Unit: 2642

result in the least expense to the routing entity since the selecting of routing the data

between the first and second locations based on the product of the revenue value and

the attempt ratio as shown on Figure 2 of the instant application and U.S. Patent No.

6,683,945 and does not base on the success or failure ratio.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

5451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to

5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen February 3, 2005

SAMOOD ME KE

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**